

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,309 10/06/2003		10/06/2003	Mitsue Miyazaki	160-391	4146
23117	7590	07/05/2006		EXAM	INER
		RHYE, PC ROAD, 11TH FLOO	HORWAT, JENNIFER A		
ARLINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assists Commence	10/678,309	MIYAZAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jennifer Horwat	3768					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6 Oct	ober 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
August 1997							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/6/03 5/12/05.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements filed on 10/6/2003 and 5/12/2005 are in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97-1.98 and all references therein have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3-7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "control the third gradient". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 4-7 and 11-14 are indefinite in that the use of the term "the other" fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, as this term causes confusion as to which pulses are included under the scope of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/678,309 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Licato, et al (US 7047062). Licato discloses an MRI system including an RF coil and gradient magnetic field coils (figure 1) as well as a pulse generator module (element 121) and a CPU (element 119) that controls the gradient magnetic field coils. The gradient magnetic field sequences may be "applied on any physical gradient axes Gx, Gy, Gz, or any linear combination thereof, depending on the selected orientation for the slice. The slice select pulse includes, but is not limited to, a slice select pulse, a rephaser pulse, and a killer or dephaser pulse", the phase encoding pulse may be a phase encoding pulse or a phase rewinder pulse, and the readout pulse may be a rephaser pulse, a readout pulse, or a killer or dephaser pulse (col 6, lines 43-59). These pulses may be used for velocity or flow compensation (col 1, line 56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Licato in view of Miyazaki, et al (2002/0032376).). Licato discloses an MRI system including an RF coil and gradient magnetic field coils (figure 1) as well as a pulse

Application/Control Number: 10/678,309

Art Unit: 3768

generator module (element 121) and a CPU (element 119) that controls the gradient magnetic field coils. The gradient magnetic field sequences may be "applied on any physical gradient axes Gx, Gy, Gz, or any linear combination thereof, depending on the selected orientation for the slice. The slice select pulse includes, but is not limited to, a slice select pulse, a rephaser pulse, and a killer or dephaser pulse", the phase encoding pulse may be a phase encoding pulse or a phase rewinder pulse, and the readout pulse may be a prephaser pulse, a readout pulse, or a killer or dephaser pulse (col 6, lines 43-59). These pulses may be used for velocity or flow compensation (col 1, line 56).

Licato further discloses that the integral of gradient amplitude over the duration of the gradient pulse is an important factor in generation of a field pulse and should be a factor that is considered (col 1, lines 53-54). Licato discloses distinction between rephrase pulse and diphase pulse and selecting appropriate pulses to obtain desired results (col 5, lines 34-67).

However, Licato fails to disclose the use of a prep scan. Miyazaki discloses a system for MR imaging including the use of a prep scan to determine an optimal condition of imaging parameters (abstract). A prep scan is followed by an imaging scan (figure 2) and determines a condition of the pulses on the basis of the results of the scan, such as the timing of the scan and other imaging parameters (figure 6, step 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Licato in light of the teachings in the reference by Miyazaki to include a preparatory scan to obtain optimal parameters for the subsequent imaging scan to provide an improved image.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,309

Art Unit: 3768

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Horwat whose telephone number is (571) 272-2811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jah 6/22/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700